## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAILED

MAR 1 9 2007

U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte STEVEN V. LARSON

Application 09/517,974

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received electronically at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on November 15, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being electronically returned to the examiner. The matter requiring attention prior to docketing is below:

The Examiner's Answer mailed July 5, 2006 does not list the prior art of record being relied upon in the rejections. Page 2 of the examiner's answer contains a section heading titled

- "(8) Evidence Relied Upon" that states "[n]o evidence is relied upon by the examiner in the rejection of the claims under appeal". The Manual Of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) \$ 1207.02(A) (8) (8th ed., Rev. 5, August 2006) defines the above heading as follows:
  - (8) Evidence Relied Upon. A listing of the evidence relied on (e.g., patents, publications, admitted prior art), and, in the case of nonpatent references, the relevant page or pages.

Application No. 09/517,974

Since the examiner's §§ 102(b) and 103(a) rejections are based on a number of U.S. Patents

(prior art), these references and any other evidence relied upon in the rejections of the appealed

claims should be listed under the "(8) Evidence Relied Upon" heading in the examiner's answer.

Before further review, the examiner must mail a PTOL-90 that will include in the amended

Evidence Relied Upon section, the list of references mentioned in the statement of rejections. See

the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, (MPEP) § 1207.02. Appropriate correction is required.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the application is returned

to the Examiner to:

1) issue and mail a PTOL-90 having the missing references listed under the Evidence Relied

Upon section, heading (8) of the Examiner's Answer; and

2) for such further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS

AND INTERFERENCES

By:

PATRICK J. NOLAN

Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator

(571) 272-9797

PJN/vsh

2

## Application No. 09/517,974

cc: BRIGGS AND MORGAN P.A.
2200 IDS CENTER
80 SOUTH 8TH ST
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402